Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 USBERL 00472 01 OF 02 101257Z

41

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 ACDA-05 SAJ-01 EB-07 CIAE-00 DODE-00

PM-04 H-02 INR-07 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 PRS-01

SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06 IO-11 OMB-01 TRSE-00 /084 W ------ 070500

R 101150Z MAR 76

FM USMISSION USBERLIN
TO AMEMBASSY BONN
INFO SECSTATE WASHDC 2095
AMEMBASSY BERLIN UNN
AMEMBASSY LONDON
AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMEMBASSY PARIS

USMISSION NATO

CONFIDENTIAL SECTION 1 OF 2 USBERLIN 0472

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: POV, PFOR, WB, GE, GW, UR

SUBJECT: GDR PROPOSAL FOR S-BAHN NEGOTIATIONS

REF: A) USBERLIN 387; B) USBERLIN 302, C) USBERLIN 454

1. SUMMARY: GDR IS CONTINUING ITS EFFORT TO DRAW SENAT INTO NEGOTIATIONS OVER FUTURE OF S-BAHN. CARROT IS PROMISE OF COOPERATION BETWEEN S-BAHN AND SENAT CONTROLLED U-BAHN AND BUS SERVICES. STICK IS THREAT TO REDUCE OR TERMINATE SERVICE. DEMAND IS FOR YEARLY SUBSIDY OF DM96.3 MILLION. GDR FOREIGN OFFICE REP DELIVERED AIDE-MEMOIRE TO THIS EFFECT MARCH 5, AND SENAT REITERATED THAT IT IS NOT INTERESTED IN NEGOTIATIONS. WE CONTINUE TO BELIEVE THAT, ONCE REICHSBAHN CONSTRUCTION MATTER IS RESOLVED, ALLIED STATEMENT TO SOVIETS WOULD BE PRUDENT TO DISCOURAGE MAJOR GDR UNILATERAL ACTION AND TO RESERVE ALLIED LEGAL POSITION. END SUMMARY:

2. GDR REP MITDANK HANDED FOLLOWING AIDE-MEMOIRE TO SENAT CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 USBERL 00472 01 OF 02 101257Z

REP KUNZE AT SAME MEETING ON MARCH 5 DURING WHICH MITDANK TOOK

HARD LINE ON REICHSBAHN CONSTRUCTION IN CONNECTION WITH DECEMBER 19 AGREEMENT (USBERLIN 449): BEGIN TEXT: AS IS WELL KNOWN THE DEUTSCHE REICHSBAHN CARRIES ALL S-BAHN TRAFFIC IN BERLIN (WEST) AND PROVIDES THEREBY AN EXTENSIVE TRANSPORT SERVICE WHICH IS EXCLUSIVELY IN THE INTEREST OF BERLIN(WEST) AND ITS POPULATION. THE DEUTSCHE REICHSBAHN CONTRIBUTES THEREBY TO THE SOLUTION OF IMPORTANT SHORT-DISTANCE TRANSPORT TASKS IN BERLIN(WEST, THE PERFORMANCE OF THIS TRANSPORT INVOLVES HIGH MATERIAL AND FINANCIAL COSTS WHICH UNTIL NOW HAVE BEEN BORNE SOLELY BY THE DEUTSCHE REICHSBAHN. AS A RESULT OF THE DEVELOPMENT OF PRICES AND WAGES IN BERLIN (WEST) AND OTHER FACTORS, THE RESULTING COSTS TO THE GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC, PARTICULARLY IN RECENT YEARS, HAVE INCREASED CON-SIDERABLY AND HAVE ALREADY EXCEEDED MANY TIMES OVER THE INCOME WHICH THE DEUTSCHE REICHSBAHN OBTAINS FROM THE S-BAHN IN BERLIN(WEST). SUCH A SITUATION IS NO LONGER ACCEPTABLE. IT IS OBVIOUS THAT THE FINANCIAL BURDENS FROM S-BAHN TRANSPORT IN BERLIN (WEST) MUST BE BORNE IN THE FURTURE BY THE SIDE TO WHOSE BENEFIT THIS TRAFFIC FLOWS.

PROCEEDING FROM THIS POSITION THE GOVERNMENT OF THE GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC SUGGESTED TO THE SENAT ON 9 APRIL AND 25 SEPTEMBER 1975 THE OPENING OF DISCUSSIONS OR NEGOTIATIONS BETWEEN THE TWO SIDES WHICH WOULD CONCERN SHORT DISTANCE TRANSPORT IN BERLIN (WEST, COOPERATION BETWEEN THE S-BAHN AND THE TRANSPORT SERVICES OF BERLIN (WEST) AS WELL AS THE REGU-

LATION OF THE S-BAHN TRAFFIC'S FINANCIAL AFFAIRS IN BERLIN(WEST). READINESS WAS DECLARED ON THE SIDE OF THE GDR TO CONSIDER, ON THE PRESUPPOSITION THAT THE SENAT WOULD BEAR THE DEUTSCHE REICHSBAHN'S DEFICIT FOR THE OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF THE S-BAHN IN BERLIN (WEST), ADVANTAGEOUS MODES OF COOPERATION INCLUDING:

-- AGREEMENT ON SCHEDULES BETWEEN THE S-BAHN AND THE OTHER TRANSPORT SERVICES IN BERLIN(WEST, CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 USBERL 00472 01 OF 02 101257Z

- -- THE ACCOMPLISHMENT OF IMPROVED CONNECTIONS BETWEEN THE S-BAHN AND THE U-BAHN IN BERLIN (WEST),
- -- AGREEMENT OVER FARE QUESTIONS BETWEEN THE S-BAHN AND THE BERLIN(WEST) TRAFFIC SERVICES.

THE GDR FURTHER DECLARED ITSELF READY TO EXAMINE

CONSIDERATIONS ARISING OUT OF THE REPORT PUBLISHED BY THE SENAT ON 29 OCTOBER 1974 ON A "LONGTERM SHORT DISTANCE TRAFFIC CONCEPTION" FOR BERLIN(WEST), SUCH AS:

- --THE EXTENSION OF THE S-BAHN NET THROUGH NEW CONSTRUCTION,
- --THE CONSTRUCTION OF A SECOND S-BAHN TRACK ON CERTAIN REOUTES WITH A CONNECTION TO THE SHORT-DISTANCE FACILITIES OF BERLIN(WEST).

REDINESS WAS ANNOUNCED ALSO TO REVIEW AND CONSIDER OTHER RELEVANT SUGGESTIONS OF THE SENAT.

MANY DISCUSSIONS OVER THESE SUGGESTIONS OF THE GDR TOOK PLACE. IN THESE REPRESENTATIVES OF THE SENAT WELCOMED THE READINESS OF THE GDR TO HOLD DISCUSSIONS ON SPECIFIC QUESTIONS OF SHORT-DISTANCE TRANSPORT IN BERLIN (WEST), AND HELD OUT THE PROSPECT OF AN ANSWER THAT WOULD CONFIRM THESE SUGGESTIONS.

IT IS NOW NOT UNDERSTANDABLE WHEN IT IS SAID THAT THE GDR'S PROPOSAL FOR THE BEGINNING OF NEGOTIATIONS BETWEEN THE TWO SIDES OVER EXISSTING QUESTIONS IS NOT ACCEPTED. THIS CAN ONLY BE JUDGED AS AN EFFORT TO POSTPONE THE REGULATION OF COST QUESTIONS FOR S-BAHN TRAFFIC IN BERLIN (WEST) AND TO AVOID AN ANSWER TO THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS:

- --IF ANDIN WHAT MEASURE THE SENAT IS INTERESTED IN THE MAINTENANCE OF S-BAHN TRANSPORT SERVICES FOR BERLIN (WEST), AND
- --IN WHAT MEASURE THE SENAT IS PREPARED FOR SENSIBLE COOPERATION BETWEEN THE S-BAHN AND THE TRANSPORT SERVICES OF BERLIN(WEST) ON THE BASIS OF THE PROPOSALS CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 USBERL 00472 01 OF 02 101257Z

MADE BY THE GDR.

AS FAR AS THE GDR IS CONCERNED, IT CONFIRMS ITS
READINESS TO SOLVE THE ABOVE QUESTIONS WITH REGARD
TO THE INTERESTS OF BOTH SIDES AND ON AN ORDERLY BASIS.
IT MAINTAINS ITS OFFER FOR COOPERATION BETWEEN THE S-BAHN AND
THE TRANSPORT SERVICES OF BERLIN (WEST)
AND IS PREPARED TO EXAMINE THE RESULTING PROPOSALS
OF THE SENAT.
ON THE OTHER HAND, THERE CAN BE NO DOUBT THAT THE GDR
IS NOT WILLING FURTHER TO BEAR ALONE THE HIGH AND
CONTINUALLY INCREASING COSTS FOR S-BAHN TRANSPORT IN
BERLIN (WEST). THE GOVERNMENT OF THE GDR EXPECTS THAT
THE SENAT WILL APPROACH THE EXISITING PROBLEMS REALISTICALLY,
WILL GIVE AN ANSWER TO THE PROPOSALS OF

THE GDR AND WILL DECLARE ITSELF READY TO UNDERTAKE THE NECESSARY NEGOTIATIONS.
IN THE EVENT THAT THE SENAT MAINTAINS IT POSITION.
HOWEVER, AND REJECTS NEGOTIATIONS OVER THE REGULATION OF THE FINANCIAL PROBLEMS THAT HAVE BEEN SET OUT, THE GDR IS NOT IN A POSITION FURTHER TO PERFORM S-BAHN TRASNSPORT AS HITHERTO. THE SENAT WILLL HAVE TO BEAR THE RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ENSUING CONSEQUENCES.

END TEXT:

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 USBERL 00472 02 OF 02 101309Z

42

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 ACDA-05 SAJ-01 EB-07 CIAE-00 DODE-00

PM-04 H-02 INR-07 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 PRS-01

SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06 IO-11 OMB-01 TRSE-00 /084 W ------ 070657

R 101150Z MAR 76 FM USMISSION USBERLIN TO AMEMBASSY BONN INFO SECSTATE WASHDC 2096 AMEMBASSY BERLIN UNN AMEMBASSY LONDON AMEMBASSY MOSCOW AMEMBASSY PARIS USMISSION NATO

CONFIDENTIAL SECTION 2 OF 2 USBERLIN 0472

3. SENAT REP TOOK STATEMENTFOR INFORMATION BUT SAID HE COULD MAKE NO COMMENT. MITDANK SAID GDR DID NOT CONSIDER KUNZE'S STATEMENT FEBRUARY 12 THAT SENAT NOT INTERESTED IN NEGOTIATIONS NOR FEBRUARY 19 REJECTION BY TELEX OF MITDANK PROPOSAL FOR FURTHER MEETING TO BE "SERIOUS ANSWER". HE SUGGESTED THAT IF SENAT MAINTAINED ITS POSITION, GDR MIGHT PUBLISH DOCUMENTATION ON ITS EFFORT TO REACH UNDERSTANDING (COMMENT: THIS WAS TACTIC USED LAST SRPING BY GDR TO SHOW THAT SENAT WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR LACK OF PROGRESS IN BOUNDARY

RESCUE NEGOTIATIONS), AND HE VOLUNTEERED FIGURE OF DM 96.3 MILLION AS AMOUNT OF ANNUAL SUBISDY GDR SEEKING FOR S-BAHN, MITDANK SAID IF SENAT HAS DIFFICULTIES BUDGETING SUCH A SUM IT COULD DELAY IMPLEMENTATION OF SUEDGELAENDE CONSTRUCTION WHICH, PURSUANT TO FEBRUARY 1974 SENAT-REICHSBAHN TECHNICAL AGREEMENT, INVOLVES USE OF REICHSBAHN PROPERTY FOR AUTOBAHN CONSTRUCTION AND ERECTION OF FREIGHT STATION FOR REICHSBAHN

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 USBERL 00472 02 OF 02 101309Z

- 4. COMMENT: MITDANK'S LATEST PRESENTATION APPEARS TO BE CONTINUATION OF FAMILIAR GDR EFFORT TO FRIGHTEN SENAT INTO NO-WIN NEGOTIATION IN WHICH IT WOULD ACCEPT PRINCIPLE OF PROVIDINGFINANCIAL SUPPORT FOR S-BAHN AND SPECIAL GDR ROLE IN WIDE RANGE OF INTERNAL WEST BERLIN AFFAIRS. STATEMENTIS NEITHER MORE OR LESS SPECIFIC THAN EARLIES ONES ON JUST WHAT GDR MIGHT DO OR WHEN IT MIGHT DO IT. ITIS HOWEVER, MOST DEATILES PRESENTATION WE HAVE SEEN OF GDR'S POSITION AND MAY WELL BE DESINGED FOR PUBLICATION IN EVENT GDR FEELS NEED TO JUSTIFY UNILATERAL CHANGE IN EXISITNG SITUATION AND TO PIN BLAME ON SENAT.
- 5. AS REPORTED REF A, ALLIED MISSIONS HAD REACHED TENTATIVE AGREEMENT THAT, ONCE PROBLEM OF REICHSBAHN CONSTURCTION HAD BEEN RESOLVED, CHAIRMAN POLAD SHOULD MAKE APPROACH TO SOVIETS IN ORDER TO ENCOURAGE SOVIETS TO EXERCISE RESTRAINT ON GDR AND TO PRESERVE ALLIED LEGAL POSITION SHOULD ALLIES EVENTUALLY HAVE TO TAKE SOMEACTION WITH RESPECT TO S-BAHN. SENAT HAD ALSO PROPOSED THAT ALLIED AND SENAT STUDY IMPLICATIONS IN S-BAHN SITUATION. BRITISH MISSION INFORM US THAT SENTIMENT WAS EXPRESSED AT RECENT TRIPARTITIE EMBASSY MEETING IN BONN FOR MISSIONS TO PARTICIPATE IN JOINT DISCUSSIONS WITH SENAT ON FUTURE OFS-BAHN AND POSSIBLE CONTINGENCIES BUT NOT TO SPEAK TO SOVIETS UNTIL WORKING PARTY HAD REACHED SOME CONCLUSIONS. BRITISH HAVE NOW SUGGESTED THAT DEPUTY POLADS CONSIDER TERMS OF REFERENCE FOR SUCH A WORKING GROUP.
- 6. WE ARE INCLINED TO BELIEVE THAT LOW-KEY
 APPROACH TO SOVIETS ALONG LINES OF TALKING POINTS REF A
 SHOULD BE INDEPENDENT OF WORKING GROUP OR AT LEAST
 NOT CONTINGENT UPON WORKING GROUP REACHING " CONCLUSIONS."
 SENAT APPEARS TO HAVE TAKEN FIRM BASIC POSITION THAT
 IT IS NOT INTERESTED IN NEGOTIATIONS, AND IT HAS
 EXPRESSED DESIRE THAT ALLIES SPEAK TO SOVIETS BEFORE
 STUDY GROUP HAS COMPLETED ITS WORK. SUCH STUDY PRO-

JECTS IN BERLIN MAY TAKE INORDINATE AMOUNT OF TIME. WE CAN WELL IMAGINE CONSIDERABLE DELAY WHILE FRENCH DESIRE TO AVOID FORMALISTIC SENATCONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 USBERL 00472 02 OF 02 101309Z

ALLIED CONSTRUCTIONS IS BALANCED AGAINST BRITISH DESIRE FOR "TEMS OF REFERENCE". FRANCH HAVE ALREADY SAID THAT THEY ENVISAGE ALLIES SUBMITTING QUESTIONSTO SENAT AND RECEIVING WRITTEN ANSWER RATHER THAN FACE TO FACE DISCUSSIONS, A POSITION THAT DELAYED SERIOUS CONSIDERATION OF SUBJCT WITH SENAT FOR MONTHS LAST YEAR. WE WILL TRY TO ENCOURAE INFORMAL EXCHANE OF VIEWS , BUT ARE DOUBTFUL THAT THIS WILL BE ACCOMPLISHED VERY QUICKELY OR THAT IT IS LIKELY TO PRODUCE FIRM CONCLUSIONS FOR WHAT ARE STILL CONTINGENCIES. IN EVENT THAT JOINT STUDY EVENTUALLY PRODUCES CONCRETE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT AREAPPROVED BY EMBASSIES WE COULD OF COURSE ALWAYS GO BACK TO SOVIETS WITH SPECIFIC MESSAGE. IN MEANTIME, HOWEVER, AND POS-TULATING ALL THIS UPON TIME WHEN SITUATION IS NOT COMPLICAED BY EXISTING PROBLEMS OVER REICHSBAHN CONSTRUCTION. WE BELIEVE IT WOULD BE PRUDENT TO SPEAK GENERALLY TO SOVIETS ALONG LINES OF TALKING POINTS REF A WHILE SITUATION IS STILL FLUID.

7. ACTION REQUESTED: CLARIFICATION OF BONN GROUP DESIRE ON STEPS TO BE TAKEN BY MISSIONS.GEORGE

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: TEXT, TRANSPORTATION, NEGOTIATIONS, INTERGOVERNMENTAL COOPERATION, TRAVEL CONTROLS, AIDE MEMOIRE

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 10 MAR 1976 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED

Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: BoyleJA
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1976 USBERI 00472

Document Number: 1976USBERL00472
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GS Errors: N/A Film Number: D760090-0991

From: USBERLIN Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1976/newtext/t19760373/aaaacmvf.tel Line Count: 308

Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM Office: ACTION EUR Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 6

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL

Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 76 USBERLIN 387, 76 USBERLIN 302, 76 USBERLIN 454

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: BoyleJA

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 20 APR 2004

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <20 APR 2004 by ElyME>; APPROVED <16 AUG 2004 by BoyleJA>

Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MÁY 2006

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: GDR PROPOSAL FOR S-BAHN NEGOTIATIONS TAGS: PGOV, PFOR, WB, GC, GE, UR

To: BONN

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006